Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,998	HINMAN, LEE MELVIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES ZURITA	3625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) JAMES ZURITA.	(3)		
(2) <u>Dan Swavze (214-893-8886)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 May 2010.			
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	9]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) h	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr Swayze approved ex</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE / INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW THE INTER	a last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT' ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APF Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/James Zurita/ James Zurita, Prima	ry Examiner	

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an appreciation with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR 61.2 Business to be transacted in writing

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal alternative of applicants or their altomeys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, plus taken or understanding an existence or include the patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, plus taken or understanding an existence of the based on the patent of could.

_

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

If it is represented to the product of the substance of

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the altorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner fixed the less than the control of the first the examiner fixed the control of the first than the control of the first than the fir

Examinate must complete an interview Summay Form for each interview had where a matter of authance has been discussed during the interview by extensing the appropriate loss and filling in the black. Discussions respecting only procedural matter, decided color to restriction resident and appropriate later of the procedural control of the procedural contro

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents' section of the file respect. In a personal interview, a objection of the Form is quarter to a support of the propriate of the form is unable to the applicant is correspondence address conclusion of the interview. In the case of a bespince or video-conference selective, the copy is unable to the applicant's correspondence address conclusions and the propriate of the conference selection of the conference selection

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Senes Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
 Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowablity is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contain.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is destrable that the examiner only remind the applicant of the or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or a supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, and of the applicable items required terms required.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable riems:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,

accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner, (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
- (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is n required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphissize and fully
- describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

 6) a general indication of any other perfinent matters discussed, and
 7) if appropriate the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by
- the examiner.

 Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.